MEMORANDUM

February 9, 2018

TO: County Council

FROM: Marlene Michaelson, Council Administrator

SUBJECT: Energized Public Spaces Functional Master Plan

The Energized Public Spaces (EPS) Functional Master Plan is a functional master plan that offers a comprehensive approach for creating parks and open spaces in the parts of the County where most people live and work. It proposes a methodology to identify and prioritize open space and park needs in relationship to population and the existing supply of park amenities. Attached is a summary prepared by Department of Parks staff of testimony and correspondence submitted to the Council. Attached is a memorandum prepared by Department of Parks staff regarding the acreage in parkland in the County. This information was prepared in response to Committee questions.

The Planning, Housing, and Economic Development (PHED) Committee recommends approval of the Plan with the minor changes described below. A resolution approving the Plan is attached.

Councilmembers may wish to bring their copy of the Plan to the meeting.

The overarching goals of the Plan, as listed on page 2 of the Plan, are to:

- Identify where parks and open space are needed most to serve dense populations within walking distance.
- Prioritize parks and open spaces for implementation using social equity and other factors.
- Propose innovative tools and new funding sources to activate and connect parks, renovate and repurpose existing facilities, develop new facilities, and create new parks and open spaces.
EPS Study Area

The EPS Plan focuses on the highest density areas in the County, covering only 17% of the land area (approximately one-third of land not preserved as parkland or agricultural land), but 40% of the County’s residents and 60% of its jobs. EPS residents are more likely to live in high-rise buildings, have a low household income, and be reliant on public transit to access parks and recreation than residents outside EPS areas. While the need may be greater, the average amount of parkland per person in EPS areas is significantly lower than the amount of parkland per person in other areas within the County (see © 10). A map of the designated areas appears on page 4 of the Plan and is attached (© 12).

Park Experiences

The EPS Plan identifies 3 different types of park experiences:

- Active Experiences (e.g., playing sports, running, walking, etc.)
- Contemplative Experiences (e.g., enjoying nature, reading a book, etc.)
- Social Gathering Experiences (e.g., attending festivals, farmer’s markets, picnic areas, etc.)

It also proposes a scoring system to determine how well each open space meets each of the three types of experiences based on how many people they serve. For example, while both trails and tennis courts provide active experiences, a trail would score higher because it serves more people.

Plan Tools and Data Analysis

The EPS Plan takes advantage of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) to allow a more sophisticated analysis of park needs than previously available. GIS is used to calculate accurate walksheds from given points to allow Department of Parks staff to determine whether there are parks (or other public or private open spaces) within a 10-minute walk of identified locations. GIS is also used to identify the existing supply of parks and likely demand based on population information. This data-driven approach will support both government and private decision-making with detailed analysis on where more parks are needed.

Significant data collection efforts will result in a far more quantitatively-based assessment of need. The demand and supply analysis is used to determine the relative service surplus or shortfall in each area. This assessment can also examine the type of park experience that has a surplus or shortfall (active, contemplative, or social gathering) and the income of residents in areas with the greatest needs. In areas where there is a lower level of service, a more traditional site analysis will identify opportunities to increase service.
Implementation Strategies

Meeting service deficits will occur via one of five strategies described on pages 47-49:

- Activate – provide programming and community events to attract more people to existing parks.
- Connect – improve connections between public spaces and an integrated network of streets, sidewalks and trails.
- Renovate and Repurpose – rebuild or replace existing park facilities to increase service and usage.
- Develop – build new parks and facilities on existing parkland.
- Create – create new parks and open space through dedication, purchase, and creation of privately owned public spaces (POPS) through the development process.

These options allow M-NCPPC to consider options for using existing open space before requiring the acquisition of new parkland.

Implementation Tools

Page 49 describes different tools that can be used to implement the 5 strategies described above. In addition to traditional means of acquiring parkland, the Plan suggests that alternative ownership patterns (public and private) and potential partnerships for the activation, operation, monitoring and maintenance of open spaces should be considered. The Plan indicates that partnerships can make use of private and public organizations that have staff on the ground near parks and open spaces to provide monitoring and certain maintenance tasks more efficiently. It also states that innovative ownership options, including privately owned public spaces, can play a key role in expanding parks and open spaces in the densest communities. It further encourages the use of master plans and the development review process to support the creation of more parks and open space.

Prioritize by Social Equity

The EPS Plan recommends that Social Equity be the primary criteria for determining which areas of the EPS Study Area should be targeted for implementation efforts. The EPS Plan methodology prioritizes the portions of the Study Area with the lowest level of service for walkable park experiences combined with neighborhoods with lower incomes. This prioritization will be used to compare opportunities across large areas of the EPS Study Area (or the entire study area) for relative needs and benefits.

Funding Sources

Pages 55-58 discuss the needs to increase both Capital Improvements Program (CIP) and operating budget funding to enable implementation of the EPS Plan. However, the fiscal constraints faced by the County mean that an assumption that implementation can only come with increased funding may be problematic. The PHED Committee recommends this section of the Plan be revised to indicate that, while some Plan recommendations may not be possible without increased funding, the Department of Parks should explore opportunities for alternative funding sources and efficiencies within the Department that will enable the implementation envisioned by the Plan. Ensuring a safe
environment for park users should be the primary concern; the Department may not be able to afford the ideal levels of maintenance. On page 57, the Plan identifies some strategies that can create efficiencies in parks, such as smaller equipment housed in satellite maintenance facilities closer to EPS areas.

The PHED Committee recommends the following change in text:

Page 55: Add a new paragraph before subheading “Proposed CIP Funding” as follows:

Implementation of the strategies and opportunities identified through this plan will require additional funding and other resources. As described throughout this Plan, a variety of implementation tools will be pursued to stretch the public dollar and bring new resources to the table. Increasing efficiencies in designing and operating new public parks will be a key element of this process. The Parks Department also will explore opportunities for alternative funding sources to support new and upgraded public parks. However, to achieve the Plan goals regarding certain significant new public parks and upgrading existing urban parks, some additional public funds will be required.

The Council received testimony questioning whether the Plan’s methodology will result in more potential parks and open spaces than can be funded. Since the potential acquisition and/or use of any specific site is not certain, Staff believes it is important for the methodology to identify far more spaces than needed, but also provide a way to prioritize among options.

Pilot Area

To validate the methodology analysis and results, it was tested in the Silver Spring Central Business District. The results are summarized on pages 9-11 and addressed in more detail in the Appendix (pages 59-79).

Changes to the Plan

The PHED Committee supports the following changes to the Plan recommended by Department of Parks staff in response to testimony submitted to the Council.

1. In the Appendix that describes opportunities in Silver Spring, clarify that the Whole Foods parking lot in Silver Spring would only be considered for open space if there is significant redevelopment.

On page 76, amend the description for Whole Foods Parking Lot as follows:

Create open space or park during any future significant redevelopment of site to meet open space requirements of the zoning code. Provide green space and/or recreational amenities to complement Veterans Plaza.
2. Add a new section on climate change to explicitly acknowledge the role of additional green space in addressing climate change.

On page 15, add two new paragraphs after the second paragraph under “Today’s Challenges” as follows:

The comprehensive integration of parks and open space into the built environment is also key to supporting the County’s efforts to address global climate change. The Montgomery County Council recently passed Resolution 18-974, Emergency Climate Mobilization, setting an ambitious target of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 80% by 2027. Climate resiliency and greenhouse gas reductions are addressed in area master/sector plans, development review recommendations, and park plans and projects through recommendations that include site context, landscaping, waste reduction/management, building materials, renewable energy, heating and cooling, and other means to reduce climate impact.

Parks and open space can play an important role in reducing climate impact, since their many benefits to local environmental quality also provide a larger benefit to the global climate. Adding more green spaces and tree canopy provides shade and comfortable places for people to relax and play, while also absorbing more carbon and heat than an impervious surface open space. By increasing the ease with which residents can walk or bicycle to parks, the subsequently fewer auto trips reduce particulate pollution and carbon emissions. By providing green spaces that help to cool the urban heat island effect, parks and open space contribute to a decrease in the energy necessary to make building interiors comfortable, thus reducing greenhouse gas emissions from energy producers. Future studies may be conducted to identify the best ways to site, build, and operate parks and open space so that these spaces contribute as much as possible to addressing the climate crisis. The creation of these open spaces as a part of sustainable and resilient communities plays a role in benefiting our country and the globe, not just Montgomery County.

3. Clarify the meaning of the Matrix of Opportunities in the Appendix (pages 75-77) further.

On page 77, amend the footnote as follows:

Sites identified in the Matrix for possibly creating a new open space are preferred locations based on the quantitative and qualitative analysis; alternative locations within the vicinity of these sites may be appropriate to meet the identified needs and may substitute for the identified sites. If future analysis using the EPS methodology indicates changes to the level of service and preferred sites to meet the needs, a revised Matrix of Opportunities will be presented to the Planning Board for review and approval per the implementation process in Chapter 5.

---

1 The PHED Committee asked Department of Parks staff to check with the individual who had requested this addition at the Council’s public hearing to see if he agreed with the next text, and Department of Parks have made some minor changes, which are reflected here, as a result of their conversation with him.
4. Clarify the issue of property rights for Privately Owned Public Spaces (POPS).

On page 59, amend the third paragraph under “Application to Pilot Area: Silver Spring CBD” as follows:

When a property is developed in Montgomery County, the zoning ordinance and applicable master and sector plans may require that a certain portion of the property is used for public open space or recreational [space] amenities without changing the ownership of the property. This functional plan does not increase the amount of open space or recreational space otherwise required for a property’s development, nor is it intended to modify requirements for the operation and maintenance of privately owned public spaces established through existing regulatory approvals. However, if land identified through the EPS method is subsequently developed for private use, this plan and the EPS method may provide recommendations on the type of open space or recreational amenities that would be beneficial to the community through the 2017 Recreation Guidelines for Private Residential Development.
The following chart documents Department of Parks Staff responses to all public testimony received during the County Council Public Hearing and comment period for the Planning Board Draft Energized Public Spaces Functional Master Plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPEAKER/ORGANIZATION</th>
<th>TESTIMONY</th>
<th>STAFF RESPONSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vicki Vergagni&lt;br&gt;President, Board of Directors&lt;br&gt;Glen Wayne Gardens&lt;br&gt;Condominium</td>
<td>She encourages policies to make builders responsible for parks and open spaces. Many communities were constructed with their own open spaces and pay to operate and maintain their own open spaces. It's not fair to tax those communities for the lack of parks and open spaces in other communities.</td>
<td>New sector and master plans and the new zoning code (including the Recreational Guidelines for Residential Development) are trying to ensure that all new development provides amenities to meet the residents' needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicki Vergagni&lt;br&gt;President, Board of Directors&lt;br&gt;Glen Wayne Gardens&lt;br&gt;Condominium</td>
<td>Montgomery County has a develop first and build park and open spaces later approach; we should think first, not build first. It is not reasonable to pay twice. Yes to parks, no to taxpayers paying for what should be the developers' burden.</td>
<td>The EPS Plan will provide data to help prioritize the most important places to spend scarce tax dollars to serve the most people the most efficiently.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Wilhem&lt;br&gt;President&lt;br&gt;Greater Colesville Citizens Association</td>
<td>Where is the money going to come from to implement this plan? 26 different recommendations for Silver Spring CBD alone in this plan, but we don’t have money to renovate what we have, much less hundreds more sites. Example: Hillandale Local Park rehabilitation appears to be postponed again.</td>
<td>Since the EPS FMP will help us to prioritize the most important new parks, renovations, and activation to increase park access and usage, it will help Parks to get the most benefit from the limited public funds available. The Plan also focuses on using a variety of public and private tools and funding to create a better public space network.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Wilhem&lt;br&gt;President&lt;br&gt;Greater Colesville Citizens Association</td>
<td>The methodology overstates the need for parks by including jobs in the Demand part of the calculation. Workers and residents have different needs for parks and workers should be treated as less important in the demand calculation.</td>
<td>Workers affect the demand for parks in two ways. First, workers are themselves potential users of parks and open spaces before or after their work hours or during breaks, and thus should be included in the demand calculation. Second, the number of workers in an area can serve as a proxy for the total urban activity in an area -- including office, retail, and entertainment activities -- that serve to bring visitors and pull residents out of their homes to enjoy the urban environment and, thus, be in need of open spaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Wilhem&lt;br&gt;President&lt;br&gt;Greater Colesville Citizens Association</td>
<td>The 10-minute walking distance might not be feasible for some people since they rely on driving to get to parks.</td>
<td>The goal of this plan is to increase the walking access to a network of parks and open space for residents and workers in our most dense communities. However, it is understood that many larger parks and facility complexes will continue to be regional in nature serving broader areas of the County, such as large recreational complexes, regional parks with a combination of natural resources and active recreation, and unique parks and amenities such as historic museums and lakes. The goal is to reduce the need to drive to get to everyday use open space and make sure these parks are more walkable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPEAKER/ORGANIZATION</td>
<td>TESTIMONY</td>
<td>STAFF RESPONSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Wilhem</td>
<td>We should make more use of the land that we already have. Only 1/3 of local parks can be used for active recreation – that policy should be changed to allow for more use of existing parkland.</td>
<td>Only Regional Parks are subject to a 1/3 developed recreational land to 2/3 conservation parkland requirement. All other types of parks are allowed to include as much developed land as is necessary to meet the goal of the particular park. In the EPS Plan, four of the strategies to increase the level of service for parks and open space are focused on making more use of the parks and open space we already have, and only one strategy (Create) addresses creating new public and private open spaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Flynn</td>
<td>As an active participant in the Working Group for this Plan, she supports the Plan adoption. As new buildings reach for the sky, we must foster a strong sense of place on the ground. The new plan provides guidance to serve the County residents and businesses. The Plans strengths include its ability to be Adaptive, Equitable, and Economically Appealing.</td>
<td>Agree.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melvin Tull</td>
<td>In Silver Spring, energizing public spaces is a good idea, but he thinks the plan is premature for a functional master plan.</td>
<td>The EPS Plan is similar to the current functional master plan for parks, the Legacy Open Space Functional Master Plan (2001), in that it describes a methodology for evaluating opportunities that can improve the parks and open space system and creates a program to implement those recommendations moving forward.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melvin Tull</td>
<td>The Plan reads as if it were mostly about more public parks and a request for CIP money.</td>
<td>Four of the implementation strategies are focused on making more use of the public parks and private open space we already have, and one strategy (Create) addresses creating new public and private open spaces. Some CIP money will be required to create the necessary public components of this system, but a variety of partnerships and other agencies will work together to implement the Plan’s vision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melvin Tull</td>
<td>There is some data missing from the Silver Spring analysis: Petals Park, for instance.</td>
<td>Data collection and maintenance is a critical part of the ongoing implementation of this GIS methodology, and considerable effort will be put into creating and updating the data over time to ensure the results of the methodology are valid and can be used to support policy decisions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPEAKER/ORGANIZATION</td>
<td>TESTIMONY</td>
<td>STAFF RESPONSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melvin Tull</td>
<td>The Whole Foods parking lot is identified for acquisition, but it already serves as the necessary parking lot for a development that created significant public space (Veterans Plaza).</td>
<td>The Whole Foods parking lot is identified in the Matrix of Opportunities in the Appendix. It is identified as a site where, if future redevelopment of the site adds significant additional density and underground parking, a Privately Owned Public Space (POPS) would be appropriate. A green space that supports active recreation would complement the existing Veteran's Plaza, not duplicate its functions. Park staff recommend the following edits to the description of the recommendation in the Matrix of Opportunities to further clarify the intent of the recommendation (p. 76): Create open space or park during any future significant redevelopment of site to meet open space requirements of the zoning code. Provide green space and/or recreational amenities to complement Veterans Plaza.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Spring advocate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaithersburg resident</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herb Simmens</td>
<td>Montgomery County is a model for the country when it comes to mobilizing for the future climate crisis. However, he didn’t see any mention of climate change in the EPS Plan. Climate change should be addressed in all future policies including this one.</td>
<td>Agree. Park staff recommend adding the following paragraph to Chapter 2, Today's Challenges (p. 15): Providing additional parks and green space also supports the County's efforts to address global climate change through a variety of means. Many benefits to local environmental quality provide a larger benefit to the global climate. Adding more green spaces and tree canopy provides shade and comfortable places for people to relax and play while also absorbing more carbon and heat than an impervious surface open space. By increasing the ease with which residents can walk or bicycle to parks, the subsequently fewer auto trips reduce particulate pollution and carbon emissions. By providing green spaces that help to cool the urban heat island effect, parks and open space contribute to a decrease in the energy necessary to make building interiors comfortable, thus reducing greenhouse gas emissions from energy producers. The creation of these open spaces as a part of sustainable and resilient communities plays a role in benefiting our country and the globe, not just Montgomery County.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery Chapter, The Climate Mobilization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Spring resident</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPEAKER/ORGANIZATION</td>
<td>TESTIMONY</td>
<td>STAFF RESPONSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leah Haygood</td>
<td>M-NCPPC headquarters development is creating a new town plaza, but much smaller than the prior open space. The WUDAC strongly recommends closing Reedie Drive to traffic to increase the size of the Town Plaza and remove traffic from dividing the most important open space at the heart of downtown Wheaton.</td>
<td>The park and plaza at this location will be larger than the previous green space and will be framed by active commercial uses. Reedie Street will be designed with a focus on pedestrians and cyclists including traffic calming measures to minimize speeds. It is important to retain vehicle connectivity through this large block to provide vehicle access to the commercial uses along Triangle Lane. Reedie Street can be closed on weekends and for special events to accommodate larger crowds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>Wheaton Veteran’s Park needs to be upgraded. Steep inclines in the park are not conducive to public use. Despite activation efforts by WUDAC, the park could serve more residents with renovation.</td>
<td>Wheaton’s Veteran’s Park is being transferred from M-NCPPC control to Montgomery County control as part of the M-NCPPC regional headquarters development project. As such, any upgrades and improvements to this park will need to be coordinated with the County.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheaton Urban District Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Wheaton CBD is lacking in play space. Support addition of play spaces including play equipment, art and other amenities to activate the urban spaces.</td>
<td>When the EPS quantitative analysis is complete for the Wheaton CBD, Park and Planning staff will have data to support requests for active recreation and additional open spaces and parks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leah Haygood</td>
<td>Connectivity necessary to Brookside Gardens from the CBD, via paths and bus links. Important to allow pedestrians and local residents to access the park by foot and public transit. For example, for the Garden of Lights should be accessible by foot, not just by car.</td>
<td>Improving connectivity between the CBD and Wheaton Regional Park is clearly an important strategy for improving the parks and open space access for residents in the CBD. When the EPS methodology is applied to the CBD, improved walkable access to these important existing park resources will be part of the resulting recommendations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>WUDAC supports the plan to create new spaces through variety of tools. Available real estate needs to be invested in now to preserve for future use. They support more private open space in the CBD, as well. Also, inadequately developed areas on school properties need to be upgraded to provide more public service to the broader community.</td>
<td>Under the EPS Plan, a variety of tools will be used to create more, better connected, developed and activated parks and open space in the Wheaton CBD and vicinity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheaton Urban District Advisory Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leah Haygood</td>
<td>Commend EPS goals to identify more parks and open space to serve population within walking distance. Consistent with the planning principles and policies of the Comprehensive Plan of the National Capital: Federal Elements (Comprehensive Plan), specifically the parks and open space element of the plan. Consider the impacts and benefits of this plan on federal installations within the EPS Study Area as Plan implementation moves forward.</td>
<td>Agree. Staff will coordinate with federal installations as the full EPS methodology is applied in those areas regarding parks and open space recommendations and potential options for intergovernmental partnerships.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Sherman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Director Policy and Research Division</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Capital Planning Commission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPEAKER/ORGANIZATION</td>
<td>TESTIMONY</td>
<td>STAFF RESPONSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent Morgan</td>
<td>EPS Plan should include the replacement of open space and natural resources &quot;lost&quot; to other government uses as a criterium for prioritizing recommendations for new parks and open space.</td>
<td>The EPS methodology takes into account the relative need for more open space, including green space, based on the current inventory of public parks and private open space. If known government or private projects will remove existing public space, the EPS methodology allows for recalculating the level of service to see how the needs for open space have increased due to planned reductions. The EPS Plan allows us to analyze the constantly changing network of public and private open space, and adaptively respond to those changes with the most appropriate recommendations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Moore</td>
<td>Support the Plan goals and vision, including the 10-minute walksheds and prioritizing service for traditionally underserved communities. The Plan will help the community and whole County to be more healthy, active, and economically viable by providing parks and open space in an equitable balanced way. The Plan will allow prioritization and use of partnerships to make the most use of limited public funds.</td>
<td>Agree.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Bronstein</td>
<td>Encourage involvement of development industry in funding of parks and open space in areas of need. Consider offering naming rights for parks that they finance.</td>
<td>Parks Department has a Naming Rights Policy that was adopted by the Planning Board in 2011. This policy creates a variety of options for recognition for donations in support of entire parks and individual facilities within parks. This policy is administered by the Montgomery Parks Foundation. Our public-private partnerships also leverage private resources for public benefit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Bronstein</td>
<td>Encourage finding a way for people from varying income levels to be recognized for their contributions to the community and parks.</td>
<td>The Montgomery Parks Foundation provides different forms of recognition for donors of all giving levels. In addition to donations of funds, people who volunteer their time in significant ways to various Parks programs are eligible for recognition through formal volunteer recognition events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Redicker</td>
<td>1. Focus on renovating and upgrading existing public parks, and not rely on the &quot;creation&quot; strategy through acquisition of private property or dedication through development review.</td>
<td>The EPS Plan's five strategies already focus on using its existing public and private resources first. Due to the shortage of parks and open spaces in the County's more urbanized areas, creation of new spaces is already a key recommendation in many sector/master plans, the PROS Plan and other park studies, and through the zoning code requirements for development. For example, the Silver Spring Central Business District Green Spaces Guidelines (adopted by Planning Board, May 2010) recommends many actions to improve and add new parks and open space in the Silver Spring CBD. The EPS Plan offers a data-driven methodology to support and prioritize the creation of new open spaces where the other strategies will not be adequate to meet public needs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Remove names of specific privately-owned properties from the Matrix of Opportunities and modify language to refer to preferred “areas” instead of sites. Concern that identification of sites will change future public expectations regarding the use and development of these sites.

Suggested changes to the Plan text (p.77):

[Sites] Areas identified in the Matrix for possibly creating a new open space are [preferred locations] based on the quantitative and qualitative analysis; alternative locations within the vicinity of these [sites] areas may be appropriate to meet the identified needs in the vicinity and may be substituted for the identified [sites] areas.

Similar to other Functional Master Plans, the EPS Plan addresses a “system” of services - parks and open spaces - and creates a program to improve this system. Specific properties are identified in other functional master plans if their locations are within or nearby major service locations or routes (such as for highways) or meet a set of criteria (as in the Legacy Open Space Functional Master Plan, 2001). Similarly, this Plan also identifies preferred sites to meet the identified needs. Identification of these preferred sites in the functional master plan is necessary to allow use of funding sources (such as ALARF) that are only available to projects identified in an adopted master or functional master plan.

The results of the EPS methodology are expressed in a Matrix of Opportunities with significant language that indicates that the results are a “menu of options” that may be implemented over time to create a better system of parks and open space. During Planning Board work sessions on this Plan, additional clarifying language was added (in the body text and in a footnote to the Matrix) to indicate that alternative sites may be considered as substitutes for the preferred sites if new opportunities become available in the future.

This Plan’s adaptive approach will allow re-analysis of the opportunity sites as new development comes into place and additional open spaces opportunities are added to the system. If the supply of parks and open spaces become balanced over time, a revised Matrix of Opportunities could remove some initial properties from the list.

Park staff recommends that the sites identified in the Matrix of Opportunities remain as in the Planning Board draft to facilitate implementation of the EPS Plan over time. To clarify the meaning of the Matrix further, Park staff recommends the following edits and additional sentence for the footnote to the Matrix of Opportunities (p.77):

Sites identified in the Matrix for possibly creating a new open space are preferred locations based on the quantitative and qualitative analysis; alternative locations within the vicinity of these sites may be appropriate to meet the identified needs and may substitute for the identified sites. If future analysis using the EPS methodology indicates changes to the level of service and preferred sites to meet the needs, a revised Matrix of Opportunities will be presented to the Planning Board for review and approval per the implementation process in Chapter 5.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPEAKER/ORGANIZATION</th>
<th>TESTIMONY</th>
<th>STAFF RESPONSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jane Redicker President &amp; CEO</td>
<td>3. Request to add language stating that new &quot;operation, maintenance, and policing&quot; standards for urban parks will not change property rights of the private properties owners.</td>
<td>As previously addressed during the Planning Board worksessions, Plan language was clarified to note that this Plan will not affect laws, regulations, or negotiated agreements that impact the legal rights of owners of Privately Owned Public Spaces (POPS) created as a result of development approvals of the Planning Board. Park staff do not believe the issue of property rights for POPS is appropriate to address in a functional master plan. However, to clarify this issue further, the following language is proposed to add to the introduction of the Appendix (p. 59): When a property is developed in Montgomery County, the zoning ordinance and applicable master and sector plans may require that a certain portion of the property is used for public open space or recreational amenities. This functional plan does not increase the amount of open space or recreational space otherwise required for a property's development, nor is it intended to modify requirements for the operation and maintenance of privately owned public spaces established through existing regulatory approvals. However, if land identified through the EPS method is subsequently developed for private use, this plan and the EPS method may provide recommendations on the type of open space or recreational amenities that would be beneficial to the community through the 2017 Recreation Guidelines for Private Residential Development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Silver Spring Chamber of Commerce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naomi Friedman</td>
<td>The South Silver Spring CBD area is well served for transit, retail, and other amenities, but has unmet needs for more parks and green and open spaces since the community has large numbers of multifamily buildings with no backyards.</td>
<td>Agree. The EPS analysis clearly indicates a lack of green open space and a lack of active recreational opportunities in a community with a high residential density.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Spring resident</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naomi Friedman</td>
<td>Regarding environmental issues, there is a lack of pervious surfaces for rainwater infiltration and green areas to support urban wildlife.</td>
<td>The provision of green, pervious surfaces in future development is evaluated and implemented during the development review process. For future public parks, green space and other techniques that address stormwater management and other environmental benefits are a priority for park development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPEAKER/ORGANIZATION</td>
<td>TESTIMONY</td>
<td>STAFF RESPONSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naomi Friedman Silver Spring resident</td>
<td>By providing new and renovated open spaces and parks, we will ensure that this neighborhood remains a high-quality place for families to live for years to come. She supports the recommendations for new parks in this community.</td>
<td>Agree. The overarching vision for the EPS Plan is to ensure quality of life and economic viability of our most dense communities through the provision of an integrated public and private open space system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devora Kimelman-Block Silver Spring resident</td>
<td>Suggests that the Days Inn/Travelodge motels on 13th Street be acquired for a park. This would not only create needed park space but also address an ongoing crime-infested location.</td>
<td>The Days Inn/Travelodge site was not identified in the Matrix of Opportunities as a preferred site for a future public park in this part of Silver Spring. However, the flexibility of the Plan and its recommendations allows for staff to evaluate the site as a potential park to meet the community’s needs and determine if it is an appropriate site for a new park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devora Kimelman-Block Silver Spring resident</td>
<td>Suggests that the wooded area in Jesup Blair Park be better utilized for the community as a recreational resource.</td>
<td>The Matrix of Opportunities for the Silver Spring CBD includes three recommendations to increase the usage of Jesup Blair Local Park. First, the plan recommends that activation be pursued to increase the number of people using the park. Activation efforts for this unique park with recreational amenities and an historic house and wooded front lawn could include pop-up activation events, historic tours and programs, and special events, among others. Second, better pedestrian connectivity to Jesup Blair would support increased usage in the park. Better connections across Georgia Avenue and increasing pathways into the park by removing portions of the fencing are examples of ways to increase connectivity to the park. Third, the Plan recommends that the park be evaluated to determine if there are ways to develop new amenities that would make more use of this expansive park within the CBD while still respecting the existing recreational and cultural amenities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Energized Public Spaces Functional Master Plan (EPS FMP) takes a unique approach to measuring a community’s access to parks by measuring experiences, not acres. To provide some perspective to the EPS FMP, this memo provides a brief history of the way that park access traditionally has been measured over the past century and compares and contrasts that approach to the EPS methodology.

Parkland Acreage per Population

For much of the 20th century, park planners focused on providing an adequate acreage of parkland to the citizens of their cities and towns. This easy-to-measure standard was described in 1930 by George Butler of the National Recreation Association (the predecessor of today’s National Recreation and Parks Association, NRPA):

...Ten acres of park and open space per 1,000 population within each city, plus an equal area in parkways, large parks, forests, and the like, either within or adjacent to the city...

Note that even then, this recommendation addressed the need for parks and open space within cities combined with the need for additional land for larger natural, linear and other park types.

Today, there is no published standard for determining a level of service by acreage per population for the United States. The NRPA only acknowledges there is a typical average for communities across the United States. That average measure of parkland acreage per population happens to be very close to that early 20th century standard: 9.6 acres per 1,000 residents. (See NRPA webpage for details: https://www.nrpa.org/publications-research/research-papers/agency-performance-review/)

Montgomery County is fortunate to have an abundance of national, state, County, and local parks that provide a broad variety of park types and things to do within those parks. Here are the figures that show acreage per population for all parkland within the County (Federal, state, M-NCPPC, and municipality) and for M-NCPPC parks only. The acreage per population figures are also broken out to illustrate the large differences between the EPS Study Area and the portion of the County outside the Study Area.
Montgomery County:
- All parkland – 63.8 acres/1,000 residents
- M-NCPPC only – 39.5 acres/1,000 residents

Inside EPS Study Area:
- All parkland – 11.8 acres/1,000 residents
- M-NCPPC only – 10.9 acres/1,000 residents

Outside EPS Study Area:
- All parkland – 99.5 acres/1,000 residents
- M-NCPPC only – 59.2 acres/1,000 residents

As this information shows, Montgomery County broadly speaking has a significant amount of parkland acreage. The County and the State of Maryland have developed policies over many decades to preserve valuable open space and natural resources and create large parks for recreational pursuits – including, Maryland’s Program Open Space, the County’s Legacy Open Space, establishing the Agriculture Reserve, and many others – so we are better served in terms of acreage across the entire County.

Note that the most densely populated portions of the County, the EPS Study Area, has the lowest rate of parks per population, only 11.8 acres/1,000 residents for all parks and only 10.9 acres/1,000 residents for Commission parks. This significantly lower ratio than outside the EPS Study Area (almost 100 acres per thousand for all parks, and almost 60 acres per thousand for Commission parks) indicates the relative need for parks and open space within the EPS Study Area.

Further delving into these numbers provide more information, but still do not tell a comprehensive story of each neighborhood’s needs. For example, when we calculate this metric for the Silver Spring Central Business District only, the results are 0.8 acres of total parkland per 1,000 residents. This amount of parkland per population for one of the County’s core CBDs is less than 7% of the acreage/population across the EPS Study Area (11.8 acres/thousand).

However they are sliced, these acreage/population metrics provide minimal to no information on what specific communities within the County can do within their local parks, which parks are within walking distance vs. driving distance to residents, whether there are adequate facilities of the right type to meet recreational needs, or any other important uses of parkland. The reality is that park acreage as an isolated metric does not give a picture of whether a community is well-served or not.

Alternative Park Access Metrics

Today, the national associations for park planning and community planning prefer using newer, more relevant metrics to measure accessibility to parks and open space. The NRPA advises communities to research benchmark data that best suits specific communities to measure parkland access more targeted to the needs of each locality.
In 2016, the American Planning Association published an article on alternative metrics to determine a Level of Service for parks and recreation. The article highlights that each community must determine its own metrics or standards based on a series of factors beyond the old method of using a ratio of acres to population:

It is important to note that Acreage LOS [Level of Service] does not address the equitable distribution of the parkland, the capacity or quality of the facilities, or the level of programming provided.

The need for an equitable park system that includes quality, well-maintained facilities with accessible programming is a key measure for today's park systems. Montgomery Parks already has a robust set of policies to identify needs for new facilities and new parks, to create "the right parks in the right places". The Energized Public Space FMP takes this analysis to the next step by analyzing parks access using more appropriate metrics.

**Energized Public Spaces FMP: Access to Experiences, Not Acres**

The EPS Plan is Montgomery Parks' effort to do as NRPA and APA recommend, to measure accessibility to park experiences by scoring amenities in each public space according to the amount of people served within a 10-min walkshed. As a result, it provides level of service mapping for three major park experiences: social gathering, active and contemplative recreation. The EPS methodology and implementation program also address the issues of social equity, facility condition, and programming and activation, important factors to measuring access to parks. Once we finalize the application of the quantitative methodology to the EPS Study Area, we will overlay the level of income to help further prioritize underserved communities. The results of the EPS Plan should improve communication about available resources in neighborhoods countywide while giving the public sector direction for where resources should be prioritized.

The new Energized Public Spaces FMP is our effort to create a more accurate way to measure access to parks and open space. The EPS goes beyond the simple acreage analysis of years gone by. It takes different factors into consideration addressing the supply and demand for park experiences within a specific walkshed (10-min). Location and accessibility are very important factors in park equity, and together with context and surrounding land uses will deliver more successful parks and open spaces than relying on an outdated metric.
Figure 1 - Energized Public Spaces Study Area and Pilot Area map
COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND
SITTING AS THE DISTRICT COUNCIL FOR THAT PORTION
OF THE MARYLAND-WASHINGTON REGIONAL DISTRICT
WITHIN MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

By: County Council

SUBJECT: Approval of September 2017 Energized Public Spaces Functional Master Plan

1. On October 2, 2017, the Montgomery County Planning Board transmitted to the County Executive and the County Council the September 2017 Energized Public Spaces Functional Master Plan.

2. The September 2017 Energized Public Spaces Functional Master Plan amends all area master and sector plans countywide approved as of the date of the final adoption of this Plan, to the extent that this Plan’s methodology will designate additional sites that may be considered for park acquisition and facility renovation or redevelopment. It also amends the 2017 Recreation Guidelines for Private Residential Development and other functional master plans.

3. On January 16, 2018, the County Council held a public hearing on the September 2017 Energized Public Spaces Functional Master Plan. The Master Plan was referred to the Council’s Planning, Housing, and Economic Development Committee for review and recommendations.

4. On January 29, 2018, the Planning, Housing, and Economic Development Committee held a worksession to review the issues raised in connection with the September 2017 Planning Board Draft Energized Public Spaces Functional Master Plan.

5. On February 13, 2018, the County Council reviewed the September 2017 Planning Board Draft Energized Public Spaces Functional Master Plan and the recommendations of the Planning, Housing, and Economic Development Committee.

Action

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland, sitting as the District Council for that portion of the Maryland-Washington Regional District in Montgomery County, Maryland, approves the following resolution:
The Planning Board Draft Energized Public Spaces Functional Master Plan, dated September 2017, is approved with revisions. County Council revisions to the Planning Board Draft Energized Public Spaces Functional Master Plan are identified below. Deletions to the text of the Plan are indicated by [brackets], additions by underscoring. All page references are to the September 2017 Planning Board Draft Energized Public Spaces Functional Master Plan.

Page 15: Add two new paragraphs after the second paragraph under “Today’s Challenges” as follows:

The comprehensive integration of parks and open space into the built environment is also key to supporting the County’s efforts to address global climate change. The Montgomery County Council recently passed Resolution 18-974, Emergency Climate Mobilization, setting an ambitious target of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 80% by 2027. Climate resiliency and greenhouse gas reductions are addressed in area master/sector plans, development review recommendations, and park plans and projects through recommendations that include site context, landscaping, waste reduction/management, building materials, renewable energy, heating and cooling, and other means to reduce climate impact.

Parks and open space can play an important role in reducing climate impact, since their many benefits to local environmental quality also provide a larger benefit to the global climate. Adding more green spaces and tree canopy provides shade and comfortable places for people to relax and play, while also absorbing more carbon and heat than an impervious surface open space. By increasing the ease with which residents can walk or bicycle to parks, the subsequently fewer auto trips reduce particulate pollution and carbon emissions. By providing green spaces that help to cool the urban heat island effect, parks and open space contribute to a decrease in the energy necessary to make building interiors comfortable, thus reducing greenhouse gas emissions from energy producers. Future studies may be conducted to identify the best ways to site, build, and operate parks and open space so that these spaces contribute as much as possible to addressing the climate crisis. The creation of these open spaces as a part of sustainable and resilient communities plays a role in benefiting our country and the globe, not just Montgomery County.

Page 55: Add a new paragraph before subheading “Proposed CIP Funding” as follows:

Implementation of the strategies and opportunities identified through this plan will require additional funding and other resources. As described throughout this Plan, a variety of implementation tools will be pursued to stretch the public dollar and bring new resources to the table. Increasing efficiencies in designing and operating new public parks will be a key element of this process. The Parks Department also will explore opportunities for alternative funding sources to support new and upgraded public parks. However, to achieve the Plan goals regarding certain significant new public parks and upgrading existing urban parks, some additional public funds will be required.
Page 59: Amend the third paragraph under “Application to Pilot Area: Silver Spring CBD” as follows:

When a property is developed in Montgomery County, the zoning ordinance and applicable master and sector plans may require that a certain portion of the property is used for public open space or recreational amenities without changing the ownership of the property. This functional plan does not increase the amount of open space or recreational space otherwise required for a property’s development, nor is it intended to modify requirements for the operation and maintenance of privately owned public spaces established through existing regulatory approvals. However, if land identified through the EPS method is subsequently developed for private use, this plan and the EPS method may provide recommendations on the type of open space or recreational amenities that would be beneficial to the community through the 2017 Recreation Guidelines for Private Residential Development.

Page 76: Amend the description for Whole Foods Parking Lot as follows:

Create open space or park during any future significant redevelopment of site to meet open space requirements of the zoning code. Provide green space and/or recreational amenities to complement Veterans Plaza.

Page 77: Amend the footnote as follows:

Sites identified in the Matrix for possibly creating a new open space are preferred locations based on the quantitative and qualitative analysis; alternative locations within the vicinity of these sites may be appropriate to meet the identified needs and may substitute for the identified sites. If future analysis using the EPS methodology indicates changes to the level of service and preferred sites to meet the needs, a revised Matrix of Opportunities will be presented to the Planning Board for review and approval per the implementation process in Chapter 5.

General

All illustrations and tables included in the Plan will be revised to reflect the District Council changes to the Planning Board Draft Energized Public Spaces Functional Master Plan (September 2017). The text and graphics will be revised as necessary to achieve and improve clarity and consistency, to update factual information, and to convey the actions of the District Council. Graphics and tables will be revised and re-numbered, where necessary, to be consistent with the text and titles.

This is a correct copy of Council action.

Megan Davey Limarzi, Esq.
Clerk of the Council